



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/917,722	07/31/2001	Kyoung Sup Shin	P-0247	P-0247 1247	
34610	7590 12/15/2005		EXAMINER		
FLESHNER & KIM, LLP			QUIETT, CARRAMAH J		
P.O. BOX 221200 CHANTILLY, VA 20153			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2612	2612	
			DATE MAILED: 12/15/200	DATE MAILED: 12/15/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/917,722		SHIN, KYOUNG SUP		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
Carramah J. Quiett		2612		

	Carramah J. Quiett	2612					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 10 November 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	OR ALLOWANCE.					
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 Cl	ce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti	on.				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as				
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th					
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or 	tter form for appeal by materially re		the issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.					
NOTE: <u>See Attached</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.	* **		(DTO) 00 ()				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.		empliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s). 		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		Il be entered and an e	explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-20</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	it before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affidat	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	t be entered s necessary and				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	it does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	No(s)					

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. The amendments, filed on 11/10/2005, have been entered and made of record.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed 11/10/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

With respect to Kuchta, the Applicant asserts that Kuchta does not teach an image signal transmitting/receiving apparatus or method that includes checking whether a cut-off mode has been set for the main image signal. Particularly, the Applicant asserts that the selection routine 112 is not a cut-off mode and is not checking whether a cut-off mode has been set for a main image signal. The Examiner respectfully disagrees. Kuchta's cut-off mode is the operator-designated selection routine (fig. 3, ref. 112) can be set to display the low resolution image signal on the monitor (ref. 116) via the selector (ref. 104) and the D/A converter (ref. 114). When the selector (ref. 104) receives the selection routine, this selection allows the selector to <u>determine</u> whether to send the high resolution (or low resolution image) signals to the monitor (ref. 16) (via the D/A converter [ref. 114]). Please note that the word "determine" is a synonym to the word "checking".

With respect to Szajewski, the Applicant asserts that "[the teaching of Szajewski] is not transmitting and displaying during telephonic communication, a sub-image signal instead of a main image signal in case that a cut-off mode is set, as recited in the claims of the present application." The Examiner respectfully disagrees. Kuchta is used for teaching transmitting and

Art Unit: 2612

displaying a sub-image signal instead of the main image signal in case that the cut-off mode is set (col. 7, lines 4-58). Szajewski is used for teaching a method for transmitting and displaying, (inherently) during telephonic communication (col. 10, lines 6-46). The communication module 46 transmits the image signals via a telecommunication network to devices such as an internet appliance, a personal digital assistant and a television. Devices such as these inherently have displays. When an image signal is transmitted and displayed on such devices via a communication path such as the Internet, it is well known in the art to utilize telephonic communication for accessing the internet.

Additionally, the Applicant asserts that, "Szajewski does not disclose or suggest anything related to a telephonic communication. Szajewski merely discloses that digital data may be transmitted from the imaging system using a communication module through a network to another device such as a telephone." The Examiner asserts that telephonic communication means using a communication module through a network to a telephone. In other words, a telephonic communication means telecommunications. Accordingly, Examiner submits that the rejections to claims 1-20 presented in the previous Office Action are respectfully maintained due to the combination of the prior art.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Carramah J. Quiett whose telephone number is (571) 272-7316. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-5:00 M-F.

Application/Control Number: 09/917,722 Page 4

Art Unit: 2612

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, NgocYen Vu can be reached on (571) 272-7320. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

CJQ November 28, 2005

PRIMARY EXAMINER